

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WWW.uspio gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,006 12/27/2000		Wolfgang von Deyn	47679	4682	
26474	7590 01/17/2003				
KEIL & WI	EINKAUF	· EXAMINER			
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			GERSTL, ROBERT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		•		09/748,006		DEYN ET AL.			
	Offic	Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
				Robert Gers	tl	1626			
<del></del>	The MAIL	ING DATE of this commu				orrespondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1)🛛									
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Clai								
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·									
, —		<u>4-11,14-16 and 21-23</u> is/a							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
·· _	ion Paper:		ha Evaminar						
,		ication is objected to by thing(s) filed on is/are		مط محاص ما	piceted to by the Ever	minor			
10)		t may not request that any o							
11)									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* (	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice	ce of Referen	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/748,006

Art Unit: 1626

. ---

1. Claims 4-11, 14-16, 21-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot refer to a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-11, 14-16, 21-23 have not been further treated on the merits.

- 2. Claims 17-20 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Von Deyn (WO96/26206). See cpds. 5.4 and 5.5.
- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/748,006

Art Unit: 1626

6. Claims 1-3, 12 and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5846907. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both encompass the species of exs. 5.4 and 5.5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Gerstl whose telephone number is 703 308-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached on 703 308-4537. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308-4426 for regular communications and 703 308-4426 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Robert Gerstl Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626

RG January 15, 2003